



Forest amenities

- 1) Water resources
- 2) Tourism and recreation
- 3) NWFP

Water resources

Weak & strong points

- France: drinking water
 - Surface water?
 - Forestry in zone 2 and common terminology?
 - Compensation to forest owners?
- Finland: surface water
 - Theory vs. practice
 - State subsidies possible

Common recommendations:

Collaboration:

Tourism and recreation

Weak & strong points

- France:
 - Compensation models
 - Different practices between regions
 - Experience on combining tourism and forest ownership
 - Multi-use and priorities
- Finland:
 - Legislation
 - Culture of using nature resources
- Common problem: finding a reasonable level to compensations and a relevant legal framework

Recommendations:

- Better marketing of products and services

Collaboration:

- Basic inventory on the research, the actors and the contact persons
- Collecting examples on contracts and practices
- Common database
- Observation of EU-level

Forest products

Weak & strong points:

- France:
 - Contradiction between law and practice
 - Usual habits, no strong stakes
- Finland:
 - Clear rights
 - Who owns the products? Nobody/everybody, unidentified people are difficult to mobilize

Common recommendations/observations:

- Private initiatives, lot of potentialities (chemical industry, food industry, medical industry...)
- Not much interest among forest owners